

Some results about stationary Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity

Filippo Gazzola

Dipartimento di Scienze T.A. - via Cavour 84, 15100 Alessandria (Italy)

Paolo Secchi

Dipartimento di Elettronica per l'Automazione - via Branze 38, 25123 Brescia (Italy)

Abstract

We consider the stationary Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity. For "almost conservative" external forces, we prove by application of the local inversion theorem that the homogeneous Dirichlet problem admits a unique regular solution.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the stationary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot [\eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)] + \nabla p + (u \cdot \nabla)u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where the unknowns are the vector function u and the scalar function p and Ω is an open bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. This problem represents Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity: u is the velocity of the fluid, p its pressure, η its viscosity and f an external force acting on the fluid. Our research is motivated by physical experiments, indeed the viscosity η of real fluids may depend on the pressure and on the temperature: this dependence is best represented by Eyring's equation [1, 5]

$$\eta(p, T) = a\sqrt{T} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{b + cp}{T}\right) \quad (2)$$

where T denotes the temperature of the fluid and $a, b, c > 0$ are constants depending on the nature of the fluid; we deal with a fluid at constant temperature so that the viscosity η only depends on p , i.e. $\eta = \eta(p)$. We require the function η to satisfy the realistic assumptions

$$\eta \in C^{m+3}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \eta(x) = \eta_0 > 0, \quad (3)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ will be chosen depending on the regularity we expect for the solution of (1). To remove an indeterminacy already present in the classical Navier-Stokes equations we also need a condition on p : more precisely, we will assume that the mean value of p over Ω is known.

Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity were first studied by Renardy [6] in the 3D case; Renardy remarks that if (2) does not break down for large pressures we cannot even guarantee the existence of a pressure p for a prescribed velocity field u and body force f : under the assumptions that η is sublinear at infinity, that $|\eta'|_\infty = \eta'_\infty < +\infty$ an existence and uniqueness result for the evolution equation is proved. Moreover, Renardy remarks that the stationary equations (1) may lose their ellipticity unless a condition on the eigenvalues of the tensor $(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)$ is assumed; more precisely, it is necessary to assume that

$$\text{the eigenvalues of } (\nabla u + \nabla^T u) \text{ are strictly less than } \frac{1}{\eta'_\infty} . \quad (4)$$

In the stationary case, the main result of Renardy is an existence and uniqueness result for p (with given mean value) to satisfy (1) in a suitable weak sense if the velocity u is known and (3) (4) hold. This result is useful in view of the elimination of the pressure p in the equation: indeed to eliminate the pressure in (1) we cannot make use of Hodge projection (see [7]) as for the classical problem and we must solve a nonlinear elliptic equation in divergence form.

In a subsequent paper [2] a similar result is proved (in the case $n = 3$) by taking stronger assumptions on η , by weakening the regularity assumptions on u and f and by replacing (4) with

$$|\nabla u|_\infty < \frac{1}{2\eta'_\infty \sqrt{6}} ;$$

the existence of a unique function p (with given mean value) satisfying (1) in a suitable weak sense is then proved. In [2] a converse result is also proved: if the pressure p is known and belongs to a suitable neighborhood of its mean value then there exists a unique u satisfying (1) in a “complementary” weak sense; the proofs of these results involve Helmholtz-Weyl decomposition of the space $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$.

The aim of this paper is to prove, by application of the local inversion theorem, the existence of a unique regular solution to (1) when the external force f is “almost conservative” in a suitable sense: this result will be used in a forthcoming paper [3] to prove local existence and uniqueness for the evolution problem under the only assumption (3) on the viscosity.

2 Statements of the results

We assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded set satisfying

$$\partial\Omega \in C^{m+2} \quad (5)$$

for some integer m . With bold capital letters ($\mathbf{L}^2, \mathbf{H}^1, \mathbf{V}, \dots$) we denote functional spaces of vector functions and with usual capital letters (L^2, H^1, \dots) we denote functional spaces of scalar functions.

To simplify notations we set $L^2 := L^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}^1 := \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega), \dots$. With $W^{m,s}$ we represent Sobolev spaces of functions with generalized derivatives up to order m in L^s , with $\|\cdot\|_m$ we denote the corresponding norm and with $W_0^{m,s}$ the $W^{m,s}$ -closure of the space C_c^∞ of smooth functions with compact support in Ω ; $H^m := W^{m,2}$ represent the Hilbertian Sobolev spaces. Given Banach spaces \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} , we denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ the space of linear continuous operators from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{Y} and by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})}$ its norm.

We also need the spaces arising from Helmholtz-Weyl decomposition of the Hilbert space \mathbf{L}^2 (see [8])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &:= \{f \in \mathbf{L}^2; \nabla \cdot f = 0, \gamma_n f = 0\} & \mathbf{G}^\perp &:= \{f \in \mathbf{L}^2; \exists g \in H^1, f = \nabla g\} \\ \mathbf{V} &:= \{f \in \mathbf{H}_0^1; \nabla \cdot f = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

where γ_n denotes the normal trace operator; the space \mathbf{V} is a Hilbert space when endowed with the scalar product $(u, v)_\mathbf{V} = (\nabla u, \nabla v)_{\mathbf{L}^2}$. It is well-known that $\mathbf{L}^2 = \mathbf{G} \oplus \mathbf{G}^\perp$: we denote by \mathcal{P} (resp. \mathcal{Q}) the orthogonal projectors of \mathbf{L}^2 onto \mathbf{G} (resp. \mathbf{G}^\perp). The projections $\mathcal{P}f$ and $\mathcal{Q}f$ of a function $f \in \mathbf{L}^2$ are determined by solving the homogeneous Dirichlet problem for a Poisson equation and a Neumann problem for Laplace equation. Recall that \mathcal{P} is a linear continuous operator from $\mathbf{W}^{m,s}$ onto $\{f \in \mathbf{W}^{m,s}; \nabla \cdot f = 0, \gamma_n f = 0\}$.

As in [6] we assume that the mean value of p over Ω is given, say \bar{p} : without loss of generality we take $\bar{p} = 0$; assume that

$$m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad s > 1 \quad \text{satisfy} \quad (m+1)s > n \quad (6)$$

in order to have the imbedding $\mathbf{W}^{m+1,s} \subset \mathbf{L}^\infty$. Consider the spaces

$$\overline{\mathbf{W}}^{m+1,s} := \left\{ g \in W^{m+1,s}; \int_\Omega g(x) dx = 0 \right\}$$

and $\mathbf{U}_{m,s} := \mathbf{W}^{m+2,s} \cap \mathbf{V}$ normed with the $\mathbf{W}^{m+2,s}$ -norm; the space $\mathbf{X}_{m,s} := \mathbf{U}_{m,s} \times \overline{\mathbf{W}}^{m+1,s}$ is a Banach space when endowed with the norm

$$\forall (u, p) \in \mathbf{X}_{m,s} \quad \|(u, p)\|_\mathbf{X} = \|u\|_{m+2,s} + \|p\|_{m+1,s} .$$

The space $\mathbf{X}_{m,s}$ is the space where we will seek the solutions (u, p) of (1); to simplify the notations we omit the indices m and s on the spaces \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{U} . Set also $\mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{W}^{m,s}$, $\|\cdot\|_\mathbf{Y} = \|\cdot\|_m$. Finally, let us introduce the operator $\Phi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ (see Lemma 3) defined by

$$\Phi(u, p) = -\nabla \cdot [\eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)] + \nabla p + (u \cdot \nabla)u . \quad (7)$$

Definition

Let $f \in \mathbf{Y}$, we say that (u, p) is a *solution* of (1) if $\Phi(u, p) \in \mathbf{Y}$ and $\Phi(u, p) = f$ a.e. in Ω .

Making use of an inversion argument we will prove

Theorem 1 Assume (3) (5) (6) and let $\psi \in \overline{W}^{m+1,s}$; then there exists a constant $R = R(\psi) > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies $\|f - \nabla\psi\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq R$, then (1) admits a unique solution $(u, p) \in \mathbf{X}$ in a suitable \mathbf{X} -neighborhood of $(0, \psi)$. Moreover, the map $f \mapsto (u, p)$ is continuous from \mathbf{Y} to \mathbf{X} . In particular, there exists $\bar{R} > 0$ such that if $\|f\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \bar{R}$, then (1) admits a unique solution in a suitable \mathbf{X} -neighborhood of $(0, 0)$.

In particular, we have

Corollary 1 Assume (3) (5) (6) and let $f \in \mathbf{Y}$ be such that $\mathcal{P}f = 0$ (i.e. $f \in \mathbf{G}^\perp$); then (1) admits a unique solution $(u, p) \in \mathbf{X}$ given by

$$u \equiv 0 \quad p = g$$

where g is the zero mean value potential of f (i.e. $g \in \overline{W}^{m+1,s}$, $\nabla g = f$).

Therefore, for conservative forces f the classical problem with constant viscosity and (1) have the same solutions: the equations become the well-known equation of the statics of the fluids and this fact shows that the model described by (1) is consistent.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

We begin with two technical results:

Lemma 1 Assume (3) (5) (6); then:

(i) there exists a constant $\omega = \omega(\eta_0, n, \Omega) > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathbf{Y}$ and $(u, p) \in \mathbf{X}$ is a solution of (1)

then $\|u\|_{\mathbf{V}} \leq \omega \|\mathcal{P}f\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$

(ii) for all $p \in \overline{W}^{m+1,s}$ the bilinear form on \mathbf{V}

$$\forall u, v \in \mathbf{V} \quad [u, v]_p := \int_{\Omega} \eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : \nabla v$$

defines a scalar product which induces a norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Proof. Multiply (1) by u , integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : \nabla u = \int_{\Omega} u f$$

and remark that $\int_{\Omega} u f = \int_{\Omega} u \mathcal{P}f$ by the imbedding $\mathbf{V} \subset \mathbf{G}$. Next, note that

$$(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : \nabla u = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : (\nabla u + \nabla^T u) \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

and that, by the divergence Theorem,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u : \nabla^T u = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot [(u \cdot \nabla)u] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma_n [(u \cdot \nabla)u] = 0 ;$$

hence, by (3)

$$\eta_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : \nabla u . \quad (9)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\eta_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} u \mathcal{P} f$$

and (i) follows by Schwarz inequality and the imbedding $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{H}^{-1}$.

The bilinear form $[u, v]_p$ is clearly symmetric and by (9) it is also a positive form. By the imbedding $\overline{W}^{m+1, s} \subset L^\infty$ and by (3) we infer that

$$\forall p \in \overline{W}^{m+1, s} \quad \exists C_p > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad C_p \geq \eta(p) \geq \eta_0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega ;$$

hence, by (8) we get

$$\forall u \in \mathbf{V} \quad \eta_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \eta(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u) : \nabla u \leq C_p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 ,$$

which proves (ii). \square

The following lemma is obtained by slight modifications of well-known results about the classical Stokes problem:

Lemma 2 *Assume (3) (5) (6) and let $\psi \in \overline{W}^{m+1, s}$; then, for all $g \in \mathbf{Y}$ there exists a unique solution $(v, q) \in \mathbf{X}$ of the equation*

$$-\nabla \cdot [\eta(\psi)(\nabla v + \nabla^T v)] + \nabla q = g \quad \text{in } \Omega . \quad (10)$$

Proof. If $\eta(\psi) \equiv \eta$ the result is well-known, see [4].

If $\eta = \eta(\psi)$, by Lemma 1 the bilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot]_\psi$ is coercive: hence, by standard estimates for elliptic operators one has that if (10) admits a solution $(v, q) \in \mathbf{X}$, then $\|(v, q)\|_{\mathbf{X}} \leq c \|g\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$. Build a homotopy connecting (10) and the classical Stokes problem: then Proposition 6.1 in [9] gives the result. \square

Next, we prove some regularity properties of Φ :

Lemma 3 *Assume (3) (5) (6) and let Φ be the operator defined in (7). Then $\Phi \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$.*

Proof. Given $(u, p), (v, q) \in \mathbf{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u, p) - \Phi(v, q)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &\leq \|[\eta(p) - \eta(q)](\nabla u + \nabla^T u)\|_{m+1} + \|\eta(q)[\nabla(u - v) + \nabla^T(u - v)]\|_{m+1} \\ &\quad + \|p - q\|_{m+1} + \|((u - v) \cdot \nabla)u\|_m + \|(v \cdot \nabla)(u - v)\|_m \\ &\leq c \|[\eta(p) - \eta(q)]\|_{m+1} \|u\|_{m+2} + c \|\eta(q)\|_{m+1} \|u - v\|_{m+2} \\ &\quad + \|p - q\|_{m+1} + \|u - v\|_{m+1} (\|u\|_{m+1} + \|v\|_{m+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

If $q \rightarrow p$ in $W^{m+1, s}$, then we can show that $\eta(q) \rightarrow \eta(p)$ in $W^{m+1, s}$. Thus from (11) we obtain the continuity of Φ . \square

Lemma 4 *The Fréchet-derivative Φ' of Φ is continuous at any point $(0, \psi)$, $\psi \in \overline{W}^{m+1,s}$.*

Proof. By a straightforward calculation one verifies that for any $(u, p) \in \mathbf{X}$ the operator Φ has a Fréchet-derivative $\Phi'(u, p) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ defined by $\Phi'(u, p)[v, q] = \Phi_u(u, p)[v] + \Phi_p(u, p)[q]$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_u(u, p)[v] &= -\nabla \cdot [\eta(p)(\nabla v + \nabla^T v)] + (u \cdot \nabla)v + (v \cdot \nabla)u \\ \Phi_p(u, p)[q] &= [\mathbf{I} - \eta'(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)]\nabla q + [-\eta'(p)\Delta u - \eta''(p)(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)\nabla p]q.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_u(u, p)[v] - \Phi_u(0, \psi)[v]\|_{\mathbf{Y}} &\leq \|\nabla \cdot [(\eta(p) - \eta(\psi))(\nabla v + \nabla^T v)]\|_m + \|(u \cdot \nabla)v\|_m + \|(v \cdot \nabla)u\|_m \\ &\leq c\|\eta(p) - \eta(\psi)\|_{m+1}\|v\|_{m+2} + c\|u\|_{m+2}\|v\|_{m+2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|\Phi_u(u, p) - \Phi_u(0, \psi)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})} \leq c\|\eta(p) - \eta(\psi)\|_{m+1} + c\|u\|_{m+2}$$

which goes to 0 as $\|u, p - \psi\|_{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow 0$. For Φ_p we proceed similarly. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Given $\psi \in \overline{W}^{m+1,s}$, we have $\Phi(0, \psi) = \nabla \psi$. Φ is continuous on \mathbf{X} and Φ' is continuous at $(0, \psi)$ because of Lemmata 3 and 5. Since $\Phi'(0, \psi)[v, q] = -\nabla \cdot [\eta(\psi)(\nabla v + \nabla^T v)] + \nabla q$, $\Phi'(0, \psi)$ is an invertible operator from Lemma 2. Thus the result follows by applying the local inversion theorem. \square

References

- [1] H. Eyring, D. Frisch, J.F. Kincaid, *Pressure and temperature effects on the viscosity of liquids*, J. Appl. Phys. 11, 1940, 75-80
- [2] F. Gazzola, *On stationary Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity*, Rend. Ist. Lomb. Sci. Lett. Sez. A, Vol.128, 1994, 107-119
- [3] F. Gazzola, *A note on the evolution Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity*, to appear on Zeit. Ang. Math. Phys.
- [4] Y. Giga, *Domains of fractional powers of the Stokes operator in L_r spaces*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 89, 1985, 251-265
- [5] E.M. Griest, W. Webb, R.W. Schiessler, *Effect of pressure on viscosity of higher hydrocarbons and their mixtures*, J. Chem. Phys. 29, 4, 1958, 711-720
- [6] M. Renardy, *Some remarks on the Navier-Stokes equations with a pressure-dependent viscosity*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 11, 7, 1986, 779-793
- [7] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations, theory and numerical analysis*, North-Holland, 1979
- [8] H. Weyl, *The method of orthogonal projection in potential theory*, Duke Math. J. 7, 1940, 411-444
- [9] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, 1986